For the Northern District of California

IN THE UN	ITED STA	TES DIST	TRICT (COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DOUGLAS LAUGHLIN,

Plaintiff,

No. C 10-02422 WHA

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

CITY OF OAKLAND, CITY OF OAKLAND POLICE DEPARTMENT, FRED SHAVIES, WILLIAM PAPPAS, RICHARD VIERRA, and DOES 1-50, inclusive,

REMINDER NOTICE OF UPCOMING TRIAL AND FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE

Defendants.

This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE on OCTOBER 17, 2011, at 2:00 P.M., with a JURY TRIAL on OCTOBER 24, 2011. Please consult the existing case management order and review and follow all standing guidelines and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the Court's website at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. Continuances will rarely be granted.

The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the shape of the upcoming trial will be determined, including in limine orders, time limits and exhibit mechanics. Lead trial counsel must attend.

To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been
reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed
agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a
fully-executed and unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire
case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial
act remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure
pending a formal dismissal.

Please state whether the Court can be of further ADR assistance (but avoid stating offers, counteroffers or dollar amounts).

In this case, the Court wishes to consider the following additional trial procedures and desires that counsel meet and confer and reach a stipulation concerning whether and how to use them:

- 1. Scheduling opposing experts so as to appear in successive order;
- 2. Giving preliminary instructions on the law;
- 3. Allowing limited pre-closing deliberations (as per, e.g., Rule 39 of the Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure); and
- 4. Allowing each side fifteen minutes of opening/argument time to be used during the evidence time (in addition to normal opening statement and closing argument).

Please present the results of your stipulation (or not) in the joint pretrial conference submissions.

24 Dated: June 29, 2011.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE